

# ADMINISTRACIÓN DE SEGUROS DE SALUD DE PUERTO RICO (ASES)

## PUERTO RICO HEALTH INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION (PRHIA)



### PHARMACY BENEFIT MANAGER (PBM) AND REBATE AGGREGATOR (RA) SERVICES

GOVERNMENT HEALTH PLAN

### REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

### RFP # PHARMACY 2022

ISSUE DATE: MARCH 31, 2021

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: JUNE 2, 2021 3:00 PM (AST)

AMENDMENT #1 ISSUE DATE: APRIL 5, 2021

AMENDMENT #2 ISSUE DATE: APRIL 13, 2021

AMENDMENT #3 ISSUE DATE: MAY 10, 2021

AMENDMENT #4 ISSUE DATE MAY 27, 2021

## 1. Amendments to the RFP

This document constitutes an amendment to the request for competitive proposals (RFP) titled Pharmacy Benefit Manager (PBM) and Rebate Aggregator (RA) Services for the Government Health Plan (RFP #Pharmacy 2022), issued by the Puerto Rico Health Insurance Administration (Administración de Seguros de Salud - ASES) (referred to herein as “Amendment #4”). Amendment #4 is being issued on May 27, 2021 and amends the RFP to clarify the scoring criteria definitions of Table 5 of Section 5.4.2.2 of the RFP. Changes are included in track changes. Accordingly, deletions to the initial RFP language are noted in red and strikethrough and additions are noted in red and underline. Amendment #4 will be available in the secure website by May 27, 2021. Also, the Procurement Contact will send Amendment #4 via e-mail to all Potential Offerors appearing in the procurement distribution list pursuant to Section 3.3.2 of this RFP.

## 2. Amendment to Table 5 of Section 5.4.2.2

Table 5 of Section 5.4.2.2 is amended to clarify the scoring criteria definitions as follows:

5.4.2.2 Table 5 shows the scoring criteria ASES will use to assign points.

**Table 5: Scoring and Criteria for Point Assignment**

Point Value	Descriptions	Criteria for Point Assignment
0	Absent or Unresponsive	Proposal response is missing or is non-responsive for it does not address ASES’s requirements.
1	Barely Satisfactory	Proposal response is incomplete. The Offeror failed to provide a fully compliant response to the requirements in the Procurement and the omission(s), or defect(s), are significant. The quality of the proposal response is considered to be less than average for a qualified Offeror.
2	Satisfactory	Proposal response is satisfactory or meets ASES’ requirements. This score may be awarded if the Offeror has met the minimum requirements established in the Procurement. Omission(s) or defect(s), if any, are insignificant <del>and acceptable</del> <u>easily addressed</u> . The proposal response is considered to be of average quality for a qualified Offeror.
3	More than Satisfactory	Proposal response is more than satisfactory and fully meets ASES’s requirements. <del>No</del> <u>Any</u> omission(s) or defect(s) are <del>apparent insignificant and acceptable</del> . The proposal response is above the average quality <del>that is expected from</del> <u>for</u> a qualified Offeror.
4	Superior	Proposal response surpasses ASES’s requirements. <u>No omission(s) or defect(s) are apparent, and the Proposer-Proponent</u> offers one (1) or more enhancing feature(s), method(s) or approach(es) that will benefit ASES. <u>Response represents excellent quality for a qualified Offeror.</u>

In assigning points, evaluators shall consider issues including, but not limited to, the extent to which a Proposal response:

- a. Is lacking the required information (e.g. whether it is lacking depth or breadth or significant facts and/or details).
- b. Is fully developed.
- c. Demonstrates that the Offeror understands ASES’s needs, the services sought, and/or the Offeror’s responsibilities.
- d. Illustrates the Offeror’s capability to perform all services and meet all requirements.
- e. If implemented, will contribute to the achievement of ASES’s goals and objectives.
- f. Demonstrates the Offeror’s capacity, capability and/or commitment to exceed regular service needs, that is, whether it offers enhanced features, approaches, or methods, or creative or innovative business solutions.